

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark-Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/656,393	09/06/2000	Kenneth M. Levine	24379	9357
7590 06/28/2004		EXAMINER		
Richard K Warther Esq			BOYCE, ANDRE D	
Allen Dyer Doppelt Milbrath & Gilchrist PA Suite 1401			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
255 S Orange Avenue P O Box 3791			3623	
Orlando, FL 32802-3791			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	2 4			
	09/656,393	LEVINE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-			
	Andre Boyce	3623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addr	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this comi ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 N</u>	March 2004					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		atters, prosecution as to the m	nerits is			
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-49 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or analysis at the provided that are subjected to a subject to restriction and/or analysis at the provided to the provide	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		` '	4 404(4)			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			` '			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in ority documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National St	age			
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-19	52)			

Art Unit: 3623

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This Non-final office action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed March 26, 2004. Claims 1, 7, 9-12, 18-26, 32, 34-37, 42, 43, and 46 have been amended. Claims 1-49 are pending.
- 2. The previously pending objections to claims 17 and 42 have been withdrawn.

The previously pending rejections to claims 1-49 under 35 USC § 112 have been withdrawn.

- 3. Applicant's arguments, filed March 26, 2004, including the declaration under 37 CFR 1.131, have been fully considered and are persuasive.
- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1, 4, 6, 9, 10, 12-19, 22, 23, 43, 45, and 48 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mahapatro (USPN 6,571,215).

As per claim 1, Mahapatro disclose a computer implemented method for managing mobile workers (assignment oriented scheduling, column 7, lines 48-50) in an object oriented programming environment (processes and

Art Unit: 3623

symbolic representations of computer operations including objects, column 8, lines 14-18 and 44-46) comprising the steps of: classifying within a database of a computer a plurality of target objects corresponding to facilities assets to be worked on by a mobile worker (process 2: generating assignments based on the tasks and resources assigned to those tasks, column 13, lines 12-17); defining the attributes of each target object, including the tasks to be performed on each target object (breaking each task into component assignments, wherein component assignments identify the parent task and the assigned resource, column 13, lines 30-33); scheduling mobile workers for the tasks to be performed on target objects by running a rule engine to determine the algorithms (scheduling assignments via an algorithm, column 14, lines 20-22) and heuristics to be used to schedule mobile workers for the tasks to be performed (employ heuristics to assign a priority-order, column 16, lines 35-37); and outputting a schedule of jobs to the mobile workers (display schedule, process 4, figure 3).

As per claims 4 (and 29), Mahapatro disclose the step of building a plurality of user configured system agents for one of at least automating work planning, scheduling tasks to workers (scheduling constraints incorporated into the preferred program, column 12, lines 51-54), dispatching workers, stores management, job state management or end-of-shift management.

As per claims 6 (and 31), Mahapatro disclose the rule engine determines a primary scheduling algorithm and parameters to be used for scheduling jobs

Art Unit: 3623

to workers (algorithm used in grouping the assignments based on scheduling constraints, column 14, lines 20-22).

As per claims 9 (and 34), Mahapatro disclose the primary scheduling algorithm comprises a scheduling algorithm that assigns jobs to workers that maximize the job's utility (algorithm utilized in grouping assignments based on higher task priority, column 14, lines 22-26).

As per claims 10 (and 35), Mahapatro discloses the unassigned job queue (group assignment) is ordered from the highest utility to the lowest utility (assignments with a high priority placed in a first group, with medium priority in a second group, and low priority placed in a third group, column 14, lines 25-29).

As per claims 12 (and 37), Mahapatro disclose the algorithm comprises a rescheduling algorithm that is operable by determining the utility of unassigned jobs and rescheduling the assigned jobs, replacing some assigned jobs with unassigned jobs on workers' schedules, based on an added utility (unscheduled assignments for a current resource that have higher priority than the current assignment, column 16, lines 24-27).

As per claims 13 (and 38), Mahapatro disclose the step of maintaining a historical database (local hard drive 20 used to store data and programs, figure 1) that reflects all changes in system configuration, including targets and tasks, based on running system agents and on user interactions.

Art Unit: 3623

As per claims 14 (and 39), Mahapatro disclose the step of viewing status and changes of task, system agents and schedules of jobs within a business viewer (monitor 31, figure 1).

As per claims 15 (and 40), Mahapatro disclose the step of maintaining a system log of all activities (local hard drive 20 used to store data and programs, figure 1).

As per claims 16 (and 41), Mahapatro disclose the step of maintaining a policy database that allows users to configure system agents and a plurality of use cases corresponding to human and system interaction and definitions (application programs 37 including scheduling program, column 10, lines 44-48).

As per claims 17 (and 42), Mahapatro does not explicitly disclose the step of building definitions of targets and their tasks, according to the classification of the targets as templates, and using the templates to create each individual target of the classification (tables providing pertinent information concerning group assignments including priority, task, and resources, figure 7).

As per claim 18, Mahapatro disclose a computer implemented method for managing mobile workers (assignment oriented scheduling, column 7, lines 48-50) in an object oriented programming environment (processes and symbolic representations of computer operations including objects, column 8, lines 14-18 and 44-46) comprising the steps of: classifying the attributes of each target object, including the tasks to be performed on each target object (process 2: generating assignments based on the tasks and resources

Art Unit: 3623

assigned to those tasks, column 13, lines 12-17); building user configured system agents and software components that automate the system environment for managing mobile workers (scheduling constraints incorporated into the preferred program, column 12, lines 51-54); scheduling mobile workers for the tasks to be performed on target objects by running a rule engine to determine the algorithms (scheduling assignments via an algorithm, column 14, lines 20-22) and heuristics to be used to schedule mobile workers for the tasks to be performed (employ heuristics to assign a priority-order, column 16, lines 35-37); configuring system agents and software components with user configured settings of a policy database that are reflective of a particular business (resource information including work

As per claim 19, Mahapatro disclose the step of updating the policy database interactively wherein the system agents and other software components update their actions based on the present contents of the policy database (additional resource information incorporated into program in order to further describe resource, column 12, lines 10-13).

types, column 12, lines 6-13); and outputting a schedule of jobs to the mobile

workers (display schedule, process 4, figure 3).

As per claim 22, Mahapatro disclose a method of managing mobile workers (assignment oriented scheduling) comprising the steps of: creating a job classification (assignments) within a planning agent module of a computer corresponding to a collection of tasks to schedule, and the worker skills and material required to complete the tasks (process 2: generating assignments

Art Unit: 3623

based on the tasks and resources assigned to those tasks, column 13, lines 12-17); based on a plurality of rules contained within a rule engine, matching the worker skills with the tasks to be scheduled (identification of the assigned resource based on resource information/limitations, column 12, lines 6-10 and column 13, lines 30-33); and outputting a schedule for mobile worker

As per claim 23, Mahapatro disclose the step of matching worker skill resources with the demands of a job within a scheduler agent of the computer (assignment limit, table 1).

management (display schedule, process 4, figure 3).

Claims 43, 45, and 48 are rejected based upon the rejection of claims 1, 4, and 16, respectively, since they are the system claims corresponding to the method claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. Claims 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 24, 25, 44, 46, and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mahapatro, in view of Lesaint et al (USPN 6,578,005).

As per claims 2 and 3 (27 and 28), Mahapatro does not explicitly disclose classifying the plurality of target objects within a server computer and outputting the schedule to a client computer operated by a mobile worker and communicating with a mobile worker via a telecommunications link and a hand-held, web based device. However, Mahapatro does disclose a client/server configuration, including a remote computer 11 (figure 1).

Art Unit: 3623

Further, Lesaint et al disclose hand-held terminals able to communicate via telecommunications network N (column 6, lines 58-63). Both Mahapatro and Lesaint are concerned with efficient job scheduling, therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the client/server configuration and hand-held web devices in Mahapatro, as seen in Lesaint, as an effective means of communicating with the workers in Mahapatro system.

As per claims 5 (and 30), Mahapatro does not explicitly disclose the rule engine comprises a forward chaining rule engine with different rule sets for each system agent. Lesaint et al disclose a deterministic rule-based prescheduler (column 10, lines 14-17), wherein the tasks are constructed based upon constraints from the rule store 35 (i.e., forward chaining, column 10, lines 26-29). Both Mahapatro and Lesaint are concerned with effective job scheduling, therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a forward chaining rule engine in Mahapatro, as seen in Lesaint, thereby creating a more flexible system in Mahapatro, including various rule sets.

As per claims 7 and 8 (32 and 33), Mahapatro does not explicitly disclose the primary scheduling algorithm comprises a brute force scheduling algorithm and a round robin scheduling algorithm. Lesaint et al disclose systematic techniques that explore every possible permutation and genetic algorithm techniques that attempt combinations of optimum solutions found so far (column 15, lines 28-35). Mahapatro discloses the algorithm taking on

Art Unit: 3623

any form and varying with the number and types of scheduling constraints (column 14, lines 20-22), therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include various algorithms in Mahapatro, as seen in Lesaint, thereby making the Mahapatro system more efficient.

As per claim 24, Mahapatro does not disclose the step of tracking the location and status of a workforce via a dispatcher agent of the computer. Lesaint discloses a technician status register 43 updateable from technician interface (column 11, lines 19-22). Both Mahapatro and Lesaint are concerned with efficient job scheduling, therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include tracking the worker in Mahapatro, as seen in Lesaint, thereby being able to effectively modify scheduled resources in real-time.

As per claim 25, Mahapatro does not disclose the step of issuing system events within the computer and determining how the status of active job agents within the computer respond via a job state manager agent contained within the computer. Lesaint discloses a real-time modifier 40 that takes into account changes within the system (column 25, lines 21-24). Both Mahapatro and Lesaint are concerned with efficient job scheduling, therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include issuing system events within the computer in Mahapatro, as seen in Lesaint, thereby providing an effective way to manage system changes.

Art Unit: 3623

Claims 44, 46, and 47 are rejected based upon the rejection of claim 2, 7, and 8, respectively, since they are the system claims corresponding to the method claims.

7. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mahapatro.

As per claims 11 (and 36), Mahapatro does not explicitly disclose the unassigned job queue is ordered the lowest utility to the highest utility.

However, Mahapatro discloses assignments with a high priority placed in a first group, with medium priority in a second group, and low priority placed in a third group (column 14, lines 25-29), and reversing the order of utility is old and well known, therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the queue ordered from lowest to highest utility, thus making the system more robust.

8. Claims 20, 21, 26, 29, 31, 34-42, and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mahapatro, in view of Pareschi et al (USPN 6,725,428).

As per claims 20 and 21, Mahapatro does not explicitly disclose said step of simulating the workings of the system environment and further comprises the step of: a) setting policy database values; b) simulating resultant operations of system agents and software components and viewing the results; c) iterating between steps a and b to view the impact of setting policy

Art Unit: 3623

database variables to various values; and d) using the results of a through c to determine the optimum values to use for the policy values in a live operational system. Pareschi discloses an expanded set of constraints to specify when and under what conditions rules are fired or not fired, wherein the constraints can control scheduling of resources (column 7, lines 4-8). Pareschi also discloses a simulation method (figure 14), including adding constraints to bound the resources (step s4), scheduling the resources (step s6), and deciding whether to accept the schedule (s7), and when the schedule is accepted, sending the plan to the enactment engine (s8). Both Mahapatro and Pareschi are concerned with effective schedule development, therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the simulating step in Mahapatro, as seen in Pareschi, thereby increasing the robustness of the Mahapatro system by using simulation to calculate control policy in the system.

As per claim 26, Mahapatro disclose a computer implemented method for managing mobile workers (assignment oriented scheduling, column 7, lines 48-50) in an object oriented programming environment (processes and symbolic representations of computer operations including objects, column 8, lines 14-18 and 44-46) comprising the steps of: classifying within a database of a computer a plurality of target objects corresponding to facilities assets to be worked on by a mobile worker (process 2: generating assignments based on the tasks and resources assigned to those tasks, column 13, lines 12-17); defining the attributes of each target object, including the tasks to be

Art Unit: 3623

performed on each target object (breaking each task into component assignments, wherein component assignments identify the parent task and the assigned resource, column 13, lines 30-33); scheduling mobile workers for the tasks to be performed on target objects by running a rule engine to determine the algorithms (scheduling assignments via an algorithm, column 14, lines 20-22) and heuristics to be used to schedule mobile workers for the tasks to be performed (employ heuristics to assign a priority-order, column 16, lines 35-37).

Mahapatro does not explicitly disclose establishing a simulator database and running a simulator program to establish policy values in a simulation of the working of a system environment to determine optimum policy values for a given business. Pareschi discloses a simulation method (figure 14), including adding constraints to bound the resources (step s4), scheduling the resources (step s6), and deciding whether to accept the schedule (s7), and when the schedule is accepted, sending the plan to the enactment engine (s8). Both Mahapatro and Pareschi are concerned with effective schedule development, therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the simulating step in Mahapatro, as seen in Pareschi, thereby increasing the robustness of the Mahapatro system by using simulation to calculate control policy in the system.

Claims 29, 31, and 34-42 are rejected as seen with the corresponding duplicate claims, as seen above.

Art Unit: 3623

Claim 49 is rejected based upon the rejection of claim 26, since it is the system claim corresponding to the method claim.

9. Claims 27, 28, 30, 32, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mahapatro, in view of Pareschi, as applied to claims 26 and 43, in further view Lesaint.

The limitations of claims 27 and 28 are rejected as seen above with the corresponding duplicate claims 2 and 3, respectively.

The limitation of claim 30 is rejected as seen above with the corresponding duplicate claim 5.

The limitations of claims 32 and 33 are rejected as seen above with the corresponding duplicate claims 7 and 8, respectively.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - -Andre et al (USPN 6278978) disclose improving an agent schedule.
 - -Sisley et al (USPN 5943652) disclose assigning and scheduling resource requests.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre Boyce whose telephone number is (703) 305-1867. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (703) 305-9643. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CAR

adb

TARIQ R. HAFIZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600